**Structure of the paper**

**1) Introduction**

**2) Theoretical insights**

**2.1 Literature review**

quitar de encima (planeo borrarlo si es posible) es todo el blablabla sobre monetization y todo eso porque o sino nos metemos en demasiados frentes y no le damos profundidad a nada creo yo.

**2.2 Theoretical framework**

*Dishoping (Rodrigue et Romi)*

*2 o 3 parr. sobre Facing Gaia ideas*

*Agente humano, que es agency, agente humano necesita agente NO humano (contab) para la toma de desiciones, informacion sobre planetary boundaries-----*

*Notas a tener en cuenta:*

*“authors appear to subscribe to the view that the way we*

*change society is through individual, consumer-based actions....Such a view of societal change seems at odds with their invocation of movement building”*

*yo creo que esto hay que apuntarlo para la seccion 3 en la cual tenemos que aclarar qeu nosotros no decimos que es SOLO mediante accion individual, sino que es TAMBIEN a traves de accion individual (no es lo mismo)*

*otro punto importante que señala: " if the producers are the problem then getting the consumers to change won't stop climate change emissions.*

*idem comment anterior, verdad? Es importante dejar en claro lo de la responsabilidad dual, a distintos niveles y no sustitutiva o una u otra...*

**3) Model- Arquitecture**

Ojo: “A nagging question throughout the paper was whether the CAM is actually circular. I know you represent it as such in Figure 3 with points A and C touching/overlapping. Yet, the paper often refers to the supply-disposal chains, such chains could of course be linear, as they are mainly in present economic activity. You appear to try to address this concern in footnote 1 (p. 3) but it is rather cryptic - a clearer elaboration in the body of the paper is advised”.

**4) Carbon estimator and example of Yakoult case**

**5) Conclusions**